

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/135,024	08/17/1998	MAKI KATO	05905.0056	8790	
22852	7590 05/09/2002				
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT &			EXAMINER		
DUNNER LL	-	BRIER, JEFFERY A			
1300 I STREI	21, NW DN, DC 20005				
W/ISINIVGTON, DC 20003			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2672	-	
			DATE MAILED: 05/09/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	200				
		Application No.	' ' '	-14)				
81mg/	Advisory Action	09/135,024	KATO ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Jeffery A. Brier	2672					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the (correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 02 May 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
	PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
 The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). 								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>02 May 2002</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b	(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) M they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
	NOTE: <u>see page 2</u> .							
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see page 2.								
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7.	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:						
	Claim(s) allowed:							
	Claim(s) objected to:							
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>17-20</u> .	•						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8.	. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
9.	☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. ☐ Other:								
	 -		Jeffery A. Brier Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2672					
	and Trademark Office		, Oim. 2012					

Application/Control Number: 09/135,024

Art Unit: 2672

Response to Amendment

- 1. An appeal under 37 CFR 1.191 was filed in this application on 05/02/2002. Appellant's brief is due on 07/02/2002 in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
- 2. The proposed amendment presents additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
- 3. The amendment filed 05/02/2002 under 37 CFR 1.116 in reply to the final rejection has been considered but is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance and will not be entered because:
 - a. The proposed amendment raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. The last four lines of claim 17 raises new issues because these amended lines were not considered before. Newly submitted claims 21-28 raise new issues because they were not considered before.
- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 05/02/2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The last four lines of claim 19 are broadly written. This claim claims component polygons are computed and placed in response to motion data without computing said articulating components. For example parts of Mario's face (the Mario character is referenced by Miyamoto at column 7 line 28) do not have articulating components between them and thus, the computation and placement of component polygons forming Mario's face are computed in response to motion data without computing articulating components. This argument also applies to the last four lines of claim 17. Thus, applicants argument at page 4 third paragraph is not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/135,024

Art Unit: 2672

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffery A. Brier whose telephone number is (703) 305-4723. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 6:30 to 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi, can be reached at (703) 305-4713).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Jeffery A Brier Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2672